# Comparative Evaluation of Emissions from Selected Paraffin Lamps and a Paraffin Thermoelectric Generator



David Kimemia<sup>1\*</sup>, Tafadzwa Makonese<sup>1</sup>, Harold Annegarn<sup>2</sup>

- 1. SeTAR Centre, University of Johannesburg
- 2. Energy Institute, Cape Peninsula University of Technology



Domestic Use of Energy Conference, 30 March – 2 April 2015, CPUT, Cape Town



#### **Presentation outline**



- Introduction
- Materials and test procedures
  - Experimental lighting devices
  - Test procedures
- Results
- Discussion and conclusion

#### Introduction



- Energy services for cooking and lighting a necessity
- Energy poverty afflicts many households in dev countries
- Energy-poor rely on traditional biomass and paraffin lamps
- Products of incomplete combustion (McCarty et al., 2008)
- CO, PM<sub>2 5</sub> cause of health losses (Lim et al., 2012)
- Black carbon (BC) forcing mechanism in global warming (Bond et al., 2013)
- We focus on PM emissions from paraffin lamps

#### Introduction, cont'd



- About 620 million people in sub-Saharan Africa lack electricity (IEA, 2014)
- PM emissions from paraffin lamps underestimated (Arne et al., 2013)
- Lamps emit 20 times more PM (BC) than previously thought
- Even with adoption of clean stoves, households still exposed (WHO, 2014) (Lam et al., 2012)
- Mitigation LED lamps by solar or thermoelectric generator

#### Introduction, cont'd



- Paper addresses knowledge gap on domestic lighting services
- Reports on evaluation of CO and PM<sub>2.5</sub> for two paraffin lamps and prototype thermoelectric generator
- Thermoelectric gen/LED (iHarvey ™) designed to provide higher light intensity
- ...also has a USB plug point for media power
- We compare fuel consumption and emission rates of the 3 devices
- Tests conducted at SeTAR Centre stove-testing laboratory, UJ


### Materials and test procedures



#### Experimental lighting devices

- Two paraffin wick lamps: a) standard lantern and b) glass lamp
- c) iHarvey<sup>™</sup> thermoelectric generator





Source: SeTAR photos 2014

#### Materials and Test Procedures, cont'd



Testing rig: Emissions collection hood; flue gas analyser (Testo™), particle counter (Dust trak™), computer, mass balance

#### Test procedure:


- Device fuelled, weighed and ignited under the hood
- Left on mass balance to track fuel consumption
- Gas sample collected by two probes and channelled to flue gas analyser and particle counter
- Data logged every 10 seconds; Test duration 25 minutes
- SeTAR HTP adapted for the suite of tests (<a href="www.setarstoves.org">www.setarstoves.org</a>)

### Test equipment set-up at SeTAR lab:

#### a) Combustion room; b) data capture room







Source: SeTAR photos 2014

# Calculation and determination of CO and PM<sub>2.5</sub> emission factors



Calculation of the emission factors is made in this manner:

$$CO_{EF} = \frac{CO[g]}{H_{NET}[MJ]}$$

$$PM 2.5_{EF} = \frac{PM 2.5[mg]}{H_{NET}[MJ]}$$

% 
$$reduction = 100 \cdot \frac{(Hr-Lr)}{Lr}$$

#### Results



#### **Emissions**


- iHarvey has 83% less PM<sub>2.5</sub> emissions compared to p-lamps
- 90% of iHarvey PM emissions produced in first five minutes
- CO and CO/CO<sub>2</sub> ratio for the 3 devices have no statistical diff

#### Fuel consumption and illumination

- iHarvey and glass lamp similar fuel consumption rate (~30g/h)
- Manufacturer data iHarvey has light output of 5 lanterns
- Implies iHarvey provides better illumination for less fuel consumption, with lower PM<sub>2.5</sub> emissions, lower risks of injury

# PM<sub>2.5</sub> emissions profile for the paraffin lantern, solution glass lamp, and thermoelectric gen





### **Pair-wise comparisons**



				_		
Test Device	Fuel cons. (g/h).	COEF (g/MJ)	PM2.5 EF (mg/MJ)	CO (g/h)	PM2.5 (mg/h)	CO/CO2 (%)
Paraffin thermoelectric generator	30 ± 3	0.17 ± 0.02	48 ± 0.25	0.18 ± 0.01	21 ± 0.27	$0.41 \pm 0.02$
Paraffin lantern	$40\pm0.58$	$0.14 \pm 0.02$	$85 \pm 0.26$	$0.16 \pm 0.02$	$127 \pm 0.29$	$0.34 \pm 0.04$
% reduction	-25%	27%	-44%	13%	-83%	21%
p-value	0.01	0.10	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.09
Sig. at 95% confidence (p<0.05)	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Paraffin thermoelectric generator	30 ± 3	0.17 ± 0.02	48 ± 0.25	0.18 ± 0.01	21 ± 0.27	$0.41 \pm 0.02$
Paraffin glass lamp	$30 \pm 2$	$0.15 \pm 0.01$	$212 \pm 13$	$0.16 \pm 0.01$	127 ± 1.0	$0.23 \pm 0.12$
% reduction	0%	16%	-77%	13%	-83%	78%
p-value	1.00	0.21	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.07
Sig. at 95% confidence (p<0.05)	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No

#### Discussion and conclusion



- PM emissions still significant in households with clean stoves
- Remaining source of the PM emissions is paraffin lamps
- Paraffin thermoelectric gen/LED a suitable intervention
- …iHarvey provides 5 times better light than lanterns and powers media
- ...demonstrates 83% reduction on PM<sub>2.5</sub> emissions, safer.
- Unlike solar, iHarvey thermoelectric gen provides power on demand – irrespective of time of day or night.

# Images of iHarvey and Glass Lamp lighting a shack



#### iHarvey in a room

#### Glass lamp in a room





#### References



- International Energy Agency, "Modern Energy for All," in *World Energy Outlook 2014*. 2014, Paris: IEA. Available from <a href="https://www.iea.org">www.iea.org</a>.
- WHO, "Reducing the health burden from household air pollution: towards the development of a national strategy for Kenya". Minutes of a workshop held on 11-12 February in Nairobi, Kenya. Geneva, WHO, 2014.
- J. Arne, T.C. Bond, L.N. Lam, H. Nathan, "Black carbon and paraffin lighting: an opportunity for rapid action on climate change and clean energy for development," Policy Paper No. 2013-3, 13 pp., 2013, Washington D.C.: The Brookings Institution.
- L. Lam, Y. Chen, C. Weyant, C. Venkataraman "Household light makes global heat: high black carbon emissions from paraffin lamps," *Environ. Sci. Technol.* vol. 46, pp. 13531–13538, 2012.
- T. C. Bond, S. J. Doherty, D. W. Fahey, P. M. Forster *et al.*, "Bounding the role of black carbon in the climate system: A scientific assessment," *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, vol. 118, pp. 5380–5552, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50171, 2013.

## **Acknowledgements**







Global Alliance for Clean Cookstoves (GACC), for supporting the SeTAR Centre as a Regional Centre for Stove Testing and Development

University of Johannesburg for financial support for the SeTAR Centre

TEQDAS Ltd (iHarvey developers)

SeTAR Centre lab team